

Second-line Assessment Form



Important

- 1. Please do not destroy this form
- 2. Please do not copy this form
- 3. Please complete and return this form to the Audit office
- 4. Please see back page, Guidelines for Second-line Assessment

Second-line Assessment Form

	ote: Please review 'First-line Assessor Comments for such such that the		<i>nent</i> as printed on you	ur cover letter. These
R	Record Keeping	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Missing
	ledical admission notes			IVII33IIIg
	ledical follow up notes			
	rocedure notes			
Ca	ase summary letter to GP			
	no operation was performed: hould an operation have been performed?	Yes	No	N/A
lf '	YES, what operation and why?			
Mi	Assessor's view (before any surgery) of overlinimal Small Moderate Co	onsiderable Ex	pected	
W Ye SI	Vas this patient treated in a critical care uses (go to Q6) No continue chould this patient have been provided critical care.	nit during this adm	nission?	
W Y€ SI	Vas this patient treated in a critical care uses (go to Q6) No continue chould this patient have been provided critical care.	nit during this admitical care in:	nission? DU)? Yes No	Don't know
W Yes	Vas this patient treated in a critical care uses (go to Q6) No continue should this patient have been provided crittensive Care Unit (ICU)? Yes No High	nit during this admitical care in:	nission? DU)? Yes No	
W Yes SI Int	Vas this patient treated in a critical care uses (go to Q6) No continue should this patient have been provided critensive Care Unit (ICU)? Yes No Highway Head of DVT proph	nit during this admitical care in: gh Dependency Unit (HI	nission? DU)? Yes No Yes No Yes No	Don't know Don't know
Mi W Y∈ SI Ini	Vas this patient treated in a critical care uses (go to Q6) No continue should this patient have been provided criticals care Unit (ICU)? Yes No High Was the decision on the use of DVT prophers. Was fluid balance an issue in this case?	nit during this admitical care in: gh Dependency Unit (HI	nission? DU)? Yes No Yes No Yes No the following are ve/technical	Don't know Don't know
Mi W Y∈ SI Ini	Vas this patient treated in a critical care uses (go to Q6) No continue should this patient have been provided criticals care Unit (ICU)? Yes No High Vas the decision on the use of DVT prophers of the properties of the propertie	nit during this admitical care in: gh Dependency Unit (HI ylaxis appropriate been improved in to the management of the	nission? DU)? Yes No Yes No Yes No the following are ve/technical	Don't know Don't know as?
W Y€ SI Int	Vas this patient treated in a critical care uses (go to Q6) No continue should this patient have been provided criticals care Unit (ICU)? Yes No High Vas the decision on the use of DVT prophers of the properties of the propertie	nit during this admitical care in: gh Dependency Unit (HI ylaxis appropriate) been improved in to the management of the	No Yes No Yes No No Che following are ve/technical at of surgery Yes Aperience of No Che following are	Don't know Don't know as? No N/A

Second-line Assessment Form

Definitions: An <u>area for consideration</u> is where the clinician believes areas of care COULD have been IMPROVED or DIFFERENT, but recognises that it may be an area of debate.

An <u>area of concern</u> is where the clinician believes that areas of care SHOULD have been better.

An <u>adverse event</u> is an unintended injury caused by medical management rather than by disease process, which is sufficiently serious to lead to prolonged hospitalisation or to temporary or permanent impairment or disability of the patient at the time of discharge, or which contributes to or causes death.

of this patient?	Areas for Consideration, Areas of Yes No	Ouricem of Auverse Eve.	ms in the manageme
(please describe	the most significant event)		
Area of: Consideration Concern Adverse event	Which: Made no difference to outcome May have contributed to death Caused death of patient who would otherwise be expected to survive	Was the event preventable? Definitely Probably Probably not Definitely not	Associated with? Audited Surgical team Another Clinical team Hospital Other (please specify)
(please describe	the <u>second most</u> significant event)	
Area of: Consideration Concern Adverse event	Which: Made no difference to outcome May have contributed to death Caused death of patient who would otherwise be expected to survive	Was the event preventable? Definitely Probably Probably not Definitely not	Associated with? Audited Surgical team Another Clinical team Hospital Other (please specify)
Consideration Concern Adverse event	Made no difference to outcome May have contributed to death Caused death of patient who would	Definitely Probably Probably not	Audited Surgical team [Another Clinical team [Hospital [

Second-line Assessment Form

Guidelines for Second-line Assessment

Introduction

The Audit has two stages of peer-review assessment:

- 1) First-line Assessment (FLA)
- 2) Second-line Assessment (SLA)

Stage 1: FLA

A FLA is conducted for all surgical cases.

Stage 2: SLA

A SLA is conducted for select surgical cases needing further case note review.

How to carry out a SLA:

- · Review the Surgical Case Form, medical records, and FLA comments
- Complete the SLA form
- Prepare a 1-2 page report (see enclosed example)

Structure your report with:

- > a succinct one-line title
- > a summary section
- > a comments section

Include in your report:

- > a short history and factual account of clinical events (note: do not include identifying information; names, dates, locations)
- > constructive comments on what could have been done differently
- > any Areas for Consideration, Areas of Concern, or Adverse Events
- > suggestions for changes in practice

Consider in your report:

- Does the case adhere to a reasonable care pathway? And if not, how does it deviate and was it justifiable?
- Return ALL documents to the Audit office (see Checklist below)

Checklist

Return by courier (phone the Audit office) the items below:

- 1) Surgical Case Form
- 2) Second-line Assessment Form
- 1-2 page report
- Medical records
- 5) ASM telephone number -