

## Can registries and audits improve patient outcomes

### Tuesday 21 February, 12:00pm - 5:00pm

The seminar focussed on the role of registries and audits to change clinical practice. The seminar was jointly presented by the Victorian Audit of Surgical Mortality, Victorian Surgical Consultative Council, Safer Care Victoria, the Victorian Department of Health and Human Services and the Victorian Managed Insurance Authority. The evaluation survey outcomes are presented below;

Respondents	Surgeon	Surgeon Trainee	Obstetrics & Gynaecology	Nursing	Research Health Professional	Quality & Safety*
	32.4%	1.5%	2.9%	1.5%	25.0%	36.8%

**Note:** Scale: 1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=neutral, 4=agree and 5=strongly agree.

\*Quality & Safety: Quality and Safety Officer and Data Manager/Coordinator.

Feedback was provided by 68 respondents (39.3%) out of 173 health professionals registered.

1.	The Program	Responses	Average
1.1	The program was relevant to my role and organisation.	66 (98.5%)	4.3
1.2	The length and sequence of the program was appropriate.	65 (97.0%)	4.2
1.3	The program material was useful during the seminar.	63 (94.0%)	4.2
2.	The Environment	Responses	Average
2.1	Upon arrival I was made to feel welcome.	66 (98.5%)	4.6
2.2	The setup of the room and venue was suitable for this seminar.	66 (98.5%)	4.5
3.	Seminar Sessions	Responses	Average
3.1	'A jurisdictional perspective of the challenge of integrating registries into our quality systems?' was well paced and suited to the group.	65 (97.0%)	4.2
3.2	'Australia and New Zealand Prostate Cancer Clinical Registry (PCR)' was well paced and suited to the group.	65 (97.0%)	4.3
3.3	'The Bi-National Colorectal Cancer Audit (BCCA)' was well paced and suited to the group.	65 (97.0%)	4.2
3.4	'The Victorian State Trauma Outcomes Registry Monitoring Group (VSTORM)' was well paced and suited to the group.	65 (97.0%)	4.3
3.5	'The Australian Society of Cardiac Surgeons and Thoracic Surgeons registry (ASCTS)' was well paced and suited to the group.	64 (95.5%)	4.3
3.6	'The Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement Registry (AOANJRR)' was well paced and suited to the group.	66 (98.5%)	4.5
3.7	'Australia and New Zealand Bariatric Surgery Registry' was well paced and suited to the group.	66 (98.5%)	4.4
3.8	'BreastSurgANZ Quality Audit (BQA)' was well paced and suited to the group.	65 (97.0%)	4.2
3.9	'Victorian Audit of Surgical Mortality (VASM) and Australasian Audit (AVA) – lessons learned' was well paced and suited to the group.	62 (92.5%)	4.4
3.10	'Value of audits for medical and surgical grievances' was well paced and suited to the group.	60 (89.6%)	4.2

**Can registries and audits improve patient outcomes**  
**Tuesday 21 February, 12:00pm - 5:00pm**

<b>4. Comment themes</b>	<b>Examples of feedback from respondents</b>
<b>The seminar was educational and valuable.</b>	<p><i>"The presentations were very precise and showcased that they [are] giving good outcome."</i></p> <p><i>"Generally a very useful program."</i></p> <p><i>"Excellent Seminar."</i></p> <p><i>"Very comprehensive and topical program."</i></p>
<b>The learning outcomes were of value.</b>	<p><i>"Great value. Speakers were excellent. I took a lot back to my organisation as a result of this session. Thank you."</i></p> <p><i>"Great to hear actual examples of how audit/registries have and can improve outcomes."</i></p>
<b>The program and material were of value.</b>	<p><i>"Those who provided evidence of change and how to measure this change were the most beneficial talks."</i></p> <p><i>"All speakers were excellent and demonstrated understanding of the difficulties in achieving effective and accurate audit."</i></p> <p><i>"Panel for questions great and good amount of time left for questions."</i></p>
<b>Future topics recommended.</b>	<p><i>"It would be great if a session (or even a program) could be devoted to issues of stream-lining ethics and governance administration. This area is in crucial need of reform to reduce the excessive amount of research dollar and researcher time spent on largely repetitive form filling."</i></p> <p><i>"Highlight importance of detailed accurate documentation by surgeons to facilitate work of data collectors. Otherwise quality of data is poor, time consuming to collect and increase cost of running registries! Simple change required – better critical documentation by practitioners."</i></p>
<b>The overall impression on environment.</b>	<p><i>"Could not see the panel. Could not see the bottom third of slides. Thank you for the opportunity."</i></p> <p><i>"Couldn't hear well towards back of room – need better audio next time please."</i></p> <p><i>"More afternoon tea available."</i></p>