

Survey Feedback Report

Surgeons	Medical Students	Resident/Intern
35.7%	14.3%	21.4%
Nurses	HMOs*	IMGs*
7.14%	7.14%	7.14%

Feedback was provided by 14 respondents (47%) out of 30 health professionals who attended.

*HMOs – Hospital Medical Officers, IMGs – International Medical Graduates

Responses

Note: Scale: 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree and 5 = strongly agree.

1.	The Program	Responses		Average
1.1	The program was relevant to my role.	14	100%	4.1
1.2	The program outcomes were explained.	14	100%	4.4
1.3	The pace was adequate to meet my needs.	14	100%	4.4
1.4	The seminar material was useful during the program.	14	100%	4.4
2.	The Environment	Responses		Average
2.1	Upon arrival I was made to feel welcome.	14	100%	4.5
2.2	The setup of the room and venue was suitable.	14	100%	4.3
2.3	The facilities were clean and well presented.	14	100%	4.5
3.	The Facilitator	Responses		Average
3.1	The facilitators' presentations were well paced and suited to the group.	14	100%	4.5
3.2	The facilitators' encouraged participation and discussions.	14	100%	4.6
4.	The Seminar	Responses		Average
4.1	The 'Peer review assessment' - presentation was well paced and suited to the group.	14	100%	4.4
4.2	'Human factors and system safety in the surgical arena' presentation was well paced and suited to the group.	14	100%	4.4
4.3	'Legal implications of the VASM peer review process' was well paced and suited to the group.	13	93%	4.1
4.4	'Practical assessment of VASM cases' was well paced and suited to the group.	14	100%	4.4
4.5	The panel discussion was well paced and suited to the group.	12	86%	4.3
5.	Program Results	Responses		Average
5.1	I have gained valuable knowledge and skills from this program.	14	100%	4.5
5.2	I can apply the skills and knowledge from this program in my role.	14	100%	4.4

Written Comments

1. *Engaging session on what goes on after the unit audit is over, very helpful for junior staff + opportunity to discuss clinical decision making with senior staff in a more detached and open environment. Recommend.*
2. *Really helpful.*
3. *The parallel session is really interesting in seeing opinions of different seniors.*
4. *A platform to share and learn from mistakes.*
5. *Miranda's presentation was a stand-out – we should all know more about human factors and how to apply that methodology. Agree with devising new edition of the FLA/SLA guidelines.*