

Victorian Audit of Surgical Mortality webinar series

Collaborative perspective on using audit data
for systemic improvements


16 December 2020

- 5.50pm-6.00pm
(10 min)** **Acknowledge Country, webinar format and introduce speakers for series**
Dr Mariolyn Raj, Moderator & Management Committee Member, VASM
- 6.00pm-6.15pm
(15 min)** **VASM's dual role as an advocate for RACS and auxiliary arm with SCV – Introduce VPCC and VAHI**
A/Prof Philip McCahy, Clinical Director, VASM
- 6.15pm-6.35pm
(20 min)** **Overview of VAHI's approach to reporting on safety and quality improvements**
Mary Manescu, Associate Executive Director, Health and System Performance Reporting & Paula Wilton, Director Clinical Analytics and Outcomes Research, Victorian Agency for Health Information (VAHI)
- 6.35pm-6.55pm
(20 min)** **Where to with mortality reporting?**
Adina Hamilton, Associate Director, Health System Reporting, Victorian Agency for Health Information (VAHI)
- 7.00pm-7.20pm
(20 min)** **VPCC activity – About BetterCare - low value care**
Prof David Watters, Chairman, Victorian Perioperative Consultative Council (VPCC) & Safer Care Victoria (SCV)
- 7.20pm-7.50pm
(30 mins)** **Panel Discussion**
Speakers are invited for live panel discussion
- 7.50pm-8.00pm
(10 mins)** **Session Close**
Reminder feedback survey and questions: email to VASM


Webinar Attendance - 16 December 2020

103 out of 211 delegate registrations attended the webinar.


Total registrations.
(n=211)




Total attendees by region.
(n=103/211)



Where attendees heard of the webinar.
(n=103/211)




Attendees who were RACS and RANZCOG Fellows.
(n=68/103)




Webinar Survey - 16 December 2020

46 out of 103 attendees completed a survey.


Attendees who completed the survey.
(n=46/103)




Attendees' professional role
(n=46)




The session outcomes were explained.




The session format as webinar was suitable.




The convener encouraged participation and discussions.




The presentations were well paced and suited to the group.




The panel discussion was well paced and suited to the group.



The panel discussion was well paced and suited to the group.



I can apply the skills and knowledge from this program in my role.



Category	Comments
Quality of program format	<p><i>"Great session."</i></p> <p><i>"Well done."</i></p>
Value of program theme	<p><i>"I was pleased to hear the statistics alone do not always tell the whole story in what often a very complex and diverse group of events and outcomes."</i></p> <p><i>"It was very high level and a local discussion would benefit the actual application within the health service itself."</i></p>
Quality of presentations	<p><i>"Most of the talks were quite similar."</i></p> <p><i>"Not impressed by the lack of clinical input."</i></p> <p><i>"The last part of the talk was of interest."</i></p>
Improvements	<p><i>"A drive to improve and standardise patient outcomes was demonstrated from evaluation of good practice and poor outcomes."</i></p> <p><i>"2 hours for the whole session including discussion is probably the upper limit that should be used in these sessions."</i></p>
Suggestions for future topics	<p><i>"I am interested in engagement with private hospital groups and providing mentoring for struggling hospitals."</i></p> <p><i>"Community health application would be of future interest."</i></p>

