

## Surgical oncology and futility – When cancer surgery goes wrong

11 October 2019, 12:30pm – 4:30pm

### Evaluation Report

Scale: 1=Strong disagree 2=Disagree 3=Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly agree

Feedback was provided by 9 out of 35 people registered for the event.

#### Category of registrants:

89% of registrants were Surgeons and 11% was nursing staff

1. The Program		Responses	Average
1.1	The program was relevant to my role and my organisation.	9 100%	4.2
1.2	The length and sequence of the program was appropriate.	9 100%	4.0
2. The Environment		Responses	Average
2.1	Upon arrival I was made to feel welcome.	9 100%	4.7
2.2	The set up of the room and venue was suitable.	9 100%	4.3
2.3	The facilities were clean and well presented.	9 100%	4.7
3. The Presentations		Responses	Average
3.1	“Opening Session” by Dr Jill Reymont & Adjunct Associate Professor Janet Chapman was well paced and suited to the group.	9 100%	4.4
3.2	“About the VASM audit” by A/Prof Philip McCahy & Ms Claudia Retegan was well paced and suited to the group.	9 100%	4.6
3.3	“About the CHASM audit” by Dr David Robinson & Ms Lisa Ochiel was well paced and suited to the group.	9 100%	4.3
3.4	“About Peer Review Second-Line Assessments” by A/Prof Philip McCahy & Dr David Robinson was well paced and suited to the group.	9 100%	4.1
4. The Interactive Sessions		Responses	Average
4.1	“General Surgery/Oncology” hosted by Dr Warren Hargreaves was well paced and suited to the group.	3 33%	4.7
4.2	“General Surgery” hosted by Mr Sohei Nakagawa was well paced and suited to the group.	2 22%	4.7
4.3	“Urology” hosted by A/Prof Philip McCahy was well paced and suited to the group.	3 33%	3.3
4.4	“Vascular Surgery” hosted by Dr David Robinson was well paced and suited to the group.	2 22%	4.5
4.5	“Orthopaedic Surgery” by Ms Avanthi Mandaleson was well paced and suited to the group.	1 11%	4.0
5. The Panel Discussion		Responses	Average
5.1	“The Panel Discussion” was well paced and suited to the group.	9 100%	4.0

**Comments:**

The Program	N/A
The Environment	“The facilities were a little strange. Presenters struggled to see monitor either needed lectern monitor or screen at back of room.”
The Presentations	N/A
The Interactive Sessions	“A brilliant session.”
The Panel Discussion	“It was not actually what I was expecting. I was expecting a discussion around surgery thinking and approach rather than a discussion on second line assessments.”