Study Number

WA Audit of Surgical Mortality M308 University of Western Australia 35 Stirling Highway Crawley, WA 6009



Second-line Assessment Form



ROYAL AUSTRALASIAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS

Important

- 1) Please do not destroy this form
- 2) Please do not copy this form
- 3) Please return this form to the audit office
- 4) Please see guidelines for Second-line assessment within

Contact WAASM

Telephone: 08 6389 8650
Facsimile: 08 6389 8655
Email: waasm@surgeons.org

Address: M308, University of Western Australia 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009 Web: www.surgeons.org



Second-line Assessment Form

Note: Please review 'First-line Assessor Comments for Second-line Assessment' as printed on your cover letter. These issues need to be addressed in your second-line assessment report.

1	Please classify the death into one of these catergories					
	 Anticipated death due to terminal illness (anticipated by clinicians and family) and/or following cardiac or respiratory arrest before arriving at hospital. 					
	2. Not unexpected death, which occurred despite the health service taking preventative measures.					
	3. Unexpected death, which was not reasonably preventable with medical intervention.					
	4. Preventable death where steps may not have been taken to prevent it.					
	5. Unexpected death resulting from medical intervention.					
2	Record Keeping Satisfactory Unsatisfactory Missing					
	Medical admission notes					
	Medical follow up notes					
	Procedure notes					
	Case summary letter to GP					
3	If no operation was performed: Should an operation have been performed? Yes No N/A					
	If YES, what operation and why?					
4	Assessor's view (before any surgery) of overall risk of death					
	Minimal Small Moderate Considerable Expected					
5	Was this patient treated in a critical care unit during this admission?					
	Yes (go to Q6) No continue					
	Should this patient have been provided critical care in:					
	Intensive Care Unit (ICU)? Yes No High Dependency Unit (HDU)? Yes No					
6	Was the decision on the use of DVT prophylaxis appropriate? Yes No Don't know					
7	Was fluid balance an issue in this case? Yes No Don't know					
8	Would it be beneficial for this case to undergo Root Cause Analysis? Yes No Unknown					
9	Do you consider management could have been improved in the following areas?					
	Pre-operative management/					
	Decision to operate at all Yes No N/A Grade/experience of surgeon deciding Yes No N/A					
	Choice of operation Yes No N/A Grade/experience of surgeon operating Yes No N/A					
	Timing of operation (too late, too soon, wrong time of day) Yes No N/A Post operative care Yes No N/A					

Note: If areas for consideration, areas of concern, or adverse events have been identified, please list below in order of significance.



Second-line Assessment Form

Definitions: An <u>area for consideration</u> is where the clinician believes areas of care COULD have been IMPROVED or DIFFERENT, but recognises that it may be an area of debate.

An <u>area of concern</u> is where the clinician believes that areas of care SHOULD have been better.

An <u>adverse event</u> is an unintended injury caused by medical management rather than by disease process, which is sufficiently serious to lead to prolonged hospitalisation or to temporary or permanent impairment or disability of the patient at the time of discharge, or which contributes to or causes death.

10	of this patient? Yes No				
10b					
	Area of: Consideration Concern Adverse event	Which: Made no difference to outcome May have contributed to death Caused death of patient who would otherwise be expected to survive	Was the event preventable? Definitely Probably Probably not Definitely not	Associated with? Audited Surgical team Another Clinical team Hospital Other (please specify)	
10c (please describe the <u>second most</u> significant event)					
	Area of: Consideration Concern Adverse event	Which: Made no difference to outcome May have contributed to death Caused death of patient who would otherwise be expected to survive	Was the event preventable? Definitely Probably Probably not Definitely not	Associated with? Audited Surgical team Another Clinical team Hospital Other (please specify)	
10d (please describe the third most significant event)					
	Area of: Consideration Concern Adverse event	Which: Made no difference to outcome May have contributed to death Caused death of patient who would otherwise be expected to survive	Was the event preventable? Definitely Probably Probably not Definitely not	Associated with? Audited Surgical team Another Clinical team Hospital Other (please specify)	

Introduction

WAASM has two stages of peer-review assessment:

- 1) First-line Assessment (FLA)
- 2) Second-line Assessment (SLA)

Stage 1: First-line Assessment

A FLA is conducted for all surgical cases.

Stage 2: Second-line Assessment

A SLA is conducted for select surgical cases needing further case note review.

How to carry out a Second-line Assessment:

- Review the Surgical Case Form, medical records, and FLA comments
- Complete the SLA form
- Prepare a 1-2 page report (see enclosed example)

Structure your report with:

- > a succinct one-line title
- > a summary section
- > a comments section

Include in your report:

- > a short history and factual account of clinical events (note: do not include identifying information; names, dates, locations)
- > constructive comments on what could have been done differently
- > any Areas for Consideration, Areas of Concern, or Adverse Events
- > suggestions for changes in practice

Consider in your report:

- > Does the case adhere to a reasonable care pathway? And if not, how does it deviate and was it justifiable?
- Return ALL documents to the Audit office (see Checklist below)

Checklist

Return the items below:

- 1) Surgical Case Form
- Second-line Assessment Form
- 1-2 page report
- 4) Medical records
- 5) WAASM telephone number (08) 6389 8650



