



University of  
South Australia

Sansom Institute  
for Health Research

# Ethics of Non-Treatment

Ian Olver AM, MD, PhD

Professor of Translational Cancer Research

Director, Sansom Institute for Health Research



# Medical Futility

Definition: A clinical action serving no useful purpose in attaining a specified goal for a given patient

*Kasman DL. J Gen Intern Med 2004,19:1053-1056*

Old concept: Hippocrates - “refuse to treat those who are overmastered by their disease, realizing that in such cases medicine is powerless”

*Lascaratós et al. J Med Ethics. 1999,25:254–258*

Issues often arise when the relatives want “everything done” and doctors do not think that an intervention will help.



# Futility in Practice

- There is a goal
- There is an action and activity aimed at achieving this goal
- There is virtual certainty that the action will fail in achieving this goal

*Medical futility in end-of-life care: report of the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs JAMA. 1999;281:937–41*



# Virtual Certainty?

- 1%, 2%, 5% and can it be quantitated?

*Helft PR et al. N Engl J Med. 2000,343:293–6*

- Can you use *physiologic futility*?

- What of *benefit-centred futility*?

*Veatch RM et al. Am J Law Med. 1992,18:15–36*

- *Operationalizing futility* - treatment costs exceed measurable benefits

*Waisel DB et al. Ann Intern Med. 1995,122:304–8*

- *Utility* – goals compared to cost benefit

*Kopelman LM et al 1995;20:109–21*



# Futility and benefit

*Jecker N. <https://depts.washington.edu/bioethx/topics/futil.html>*

***Quantitative futility*** – likelihood of an intervention benefiting the patient is very poor

***Qualitative futility*** – Where the quality of the benefit of an intervention is very poor

**Both are distinguished from physiological improvement**

**Futility is not a general statement but applies to particular patients in their particular situation**



# Quantitative and Qualitative Futility

*Schneiderman LJ. J Bioeth Inq 2011, 8:123-131*

- Most would agree that if a treatment has not worked in the last 100 cases, almost certainly it is not going to work if it is tried again. (Statistically the upper limit of the 95% Confidence Interval is 3%.)
- Another proposed quantitative threshold is similar to that used in the statistical evaluation of clinical trials i.e. observations have a one in twenty chance of being nonsignificant ( $p = 0.05$ )
- Qualitative definition attributed by Plato to Asclepius-if the treatment fails to release the patient from being “preoccupied” with the illness and incapable of achieving any other life goal then it should be regarded as futile



# Other Issues

- **When does a treatment prolong life vs prolong dying?**
- **Judgement of futility to trump relatives may be paternalistic**
- **Is futility a cover for rationing resources?**
- **Alternative to futility would be standards of care plus best interests of patients**



# Withdrawing Treatment

**Physicians are not obligated to provide treatments they believe are ineffective or harmful**

**When giving options to patients the “futile” treatment should be mentioned and discussed with the alternatives**

**Is “medically inappropriate” a better term for relatives**

**Symptom control is never futile it is only active treatments that may be futile**





# Passive Euthanasia

- **Treatment withdrawal in the dying patient is not euthanasia unless the intention is death**
- **Test intention by counterfactual test**
- **Passive euthanasia term used to try to claim an inconsistency if you support treatment withdrawal and not active euthanasia (killing a patient)**



# Where clinicians and relatives disagree

- **The side effects of a treatment compared to the negligible chance of recovery**
- **Allow second opinions**
- **Give treatment alternatives**
- **Should have discussed DNR orders prior to the situation where resuscitation is required (including the likely outcome: >20% patients are discharged from hospital after CPR)**



# Experimental treatments

- **There is a difference between a futile treatment (based on evidence) and an experimental treatment with an unknown outcome**
- **Phase I trials have a low likelihood of benefit and this needs explaining. Eligibility criteria usually preclude imminently dying patients**



# Conclusions

- **Futile treatments most probably will not contribute to treatment goals and could increase harm**
- **Issues are around the threshold for futility, paternalism and motive for judging futility**
- **Alternative is treatment standards and focus on patient benefit**
- **Communication with patients about alternatives including supportive care**

