To Operate or Not - Pre-operative Assessment

DR IVAN WARD CONSULTANT ANAESTHET FLINDERS MEDICAL CENT PULSE ANAESTHETICS

About me





Disclosure

I am married to a Palliative Physician

Risk of Death

- Historical perspective
 - ▶ 1850-1870's
 - Chloroform anaesthetics
 - ▶ 1 death for every 3000 cases
 - Ether anaesthesia
 - ▶ 1 death for every 12,000 cases

Edmond I Eger II, Lawrence Saidman, Rod Westhorpe 2013, The Wondrous Story of Anesthesia, Springer Science & Business Media, 944 pages

Australian Anaesthetic Mortality

Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists

- Longitudinal data
 - ▶ 1960's 1 deaths per 10,000 cases
 - End of 1980's 1 death per 25,000 cases
 - ▶ 2010 1 death per 58,039 cases

A review of anaesthesia-related mortality reporting in Australia and New Zealand 2009-2011

Australian Anaesthetic Mortality

- Estimated anaesthesia related mortality in Australia
 - 17 Deaths per million

Figure 14: Distribution of ASA grades by year (N=18,583)



Missing data: n=1,198 (6%). ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists.

A review of anaesthesia-related mortality reporting in Australia and New Zealand 2009-2011 Australian and New Zealand Audit of Surgical Mortality – National Report 2013

Risk of Death

Annual Risk Of Death During One's Lifetime

Disease and Accidental Causes of Deaths	Annual Deaths	<u>Death Risk</u> <u>During One's</u> <u>Lifetime</u>
Heart disease	652,486	1 in 5
Cancer	553,888	1 in 7
Stroke	150,074	1 in 24
Hospital Infections	99,000	1 in 38
Flu	59,664	1 in 63
Car accidents	44,757	1 in 84
Suicide	31,484	1 in 119
Accidental poisoning	19,456	1 in 193
MRSA (resistant bacteria)	19,000	1 in 197
Falls	17,229	1 in 218
Drowning	3,306	1 in 1,134
Bike accident	762	1 in 4,919
Air/space accident	742	1 in 5,051
Excessive cold	620	1 in 6,045
Sun/heat exposure	273	1 in 13,729
Lightning	47	1 in 79,746
Train crash	24	1 in 156,169
Fireworks	11	1 in 340,733
Shark attack	1	1 in 3,748,067

Summary

- Overall risk of intraoperative death
 - ▶ 1 in 58,000
- Risk of death in ASA 1
 - Approximately 1 in 200,000
- Risk of death solely due to anaesthetic related factors
 - ▶ 1 in 411,000

Risk of Death

Annual Risk Of Death During One's Lifetime

Disease and Accidental Causes of Deaths	Annual Deaths	<u>Death Risk</u> <u>During One's</u> <u>Lifetime</u>
Heart disease	652,486	1 in 5
Cancer	553,888	1 in 7
Stroke	150,074	1 in 24
Hospital Infections	99,000	1 in 38
Flu	59,664	1 in 63
Car accidents	44,757	1 in 84
Suicide	31,484	1 in 119
Accidental poisoning	19,456	1 in 193
MRSA (resistant bacteria)	19,000	1 in 197
Falls	17,229	1 in 218
Drowning	3,306	1 in 1,134
Bike accident	762	1 in 4,919
Air/space accident	742	1 in 5,051
Excessive cold	620	1 in 6,045
Sun/heat exposure	273	1 in 13,729
Lightning	47	1 in 79,746
Train crash	24	1 in 156,169
Fireworks	11	1 in 340,733
Shark attack	1	1 in 3,748,067

Summary

- Overall risk of intraoperative death
 - ▶ 1 in 58,000
- Risk of death in ASA 1
 - Approximately 1 in 200,000
- Risk of death solely due to anaesthetic related factors
 - ▶ 1 in 411,000

The Reason Study

STORY D, ET AL. COMPLICATIONS AND MORTALITY IN OLDER SURGICAL PATIENTS IN AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND (THE REASON STUDY): A MULTICENTRE, PROSPECTIVE, OBSERVATIONAL STUDY. ANAESTHESIA, 2010; 65: 1022-1030

Inclusion Criteria

- Non-cardiac surgical patients aged > 70 years
- Expected to stay at least one night in hospital
- Admitted to one of 23 hospitals in Australia and New Zealand

Anaesthesia	vin and Ireland
Progene Anaesthesia, 2010, 65, pages 1022-1030	doi:10.11116/1365-2044.2010.06428
ORIGINAL ARTICLE	
Complications and mortality	in older surgical patients in
Australia and New Zealand (the REASON study):
a multicentre, prospective, o	bservational study*
D. A. Story, ¹ K. Leslie, ² P. S. Myles, ² M. Fink, ⁴ S. V. Beavis ⁹ and R. Kerridge ⁹ ; on behalf of the REA New Zealand College of Anaesthetists Trials Gro	I. Poustie, ^S A. Forbes, ⁶ S. Yap, ⁷ SON Investigators, Australian and IP
1 Heal of Research, Department of Anaesthesia, 4 Hepatchilary Surg 2 Head of Research, Department of Anaesthesia and Pain Managemeet, 3 Distator, Department of Anaesthesia and Pain Managemeet, Alfred H 8 Research Coordinator, ANZCA Thido Georg, Mellowine Antimilia	on, Asatin Heaklu, Heideberg, Australia Reyal Malkeume Haysital, Melboume, Australia ospital, Melboume, Australia
6 Head, Boitatitis Unit, Departnene uf Epidemislog and Preventire Medicine, Monach University, Nelhourne, Autoration 7 Diontor, Perioperative Unit, Prince of Wales Haspital, Sydney, Auto 8 Diontor, Princeparaive Servis, Join Hunter Hespital, Nesaurle, Auto 9 Diontor, Princeparaive Servis, Join Hunter Hespital, Nesaurle, Autor 9 Diontory, Princeparaive Servis, Join Hunter Hespital, Nesaurle, Autor 9 Diontory, Princeparaive Servis, Join Hunter Hespital, Nesaurle, Autor 9 Diontory, Princeparaive Servis, Joint Hunter Hespital, Nesaurle, Autor 9 Diontory, Princeparative Autor, Joint Hespital, Schuler Hespital, Schuler, Princeparative Autor, Autor, Autor Hespital, Schuler Hespital, Schuler, Princeparative Autor, Autor, Autor Hespital, Schuler, Autor, Autor, Princeparative Autor, Autor,	Medicine, School of Public Health and Proventave alia Aucklund, New Zealand rola
Summary	
we conducted a prospective study of non-cartack singled. hospitals in Australia and New Zealand. We studied 4158 (68%) had pre-existing comorbidities. By day 30, 216 (55) suffered complications, 390 (9.4%) patients were admitted Pre-operative factors associated with mortality included: in	patients aged 70 years or more in 25 consecutive patients of whom 2845 i) patients had died, and 835 (20%) to the Intensive Care Unit. creasing age (80–80 years: OR 2.1 (95%
CI 1.6–2.8), $p < 0.001$; 90+ years: OR 4.0 (95% CI 2.6- physical status (ASA 3: OR 3.1 (95% CI 1.8–5.5), $p < 0.01$); p < 0.001; a pre-operative plasma albumin < 30 gT ⁻¹ (C and non-tcheduled surgery (OR 1.8 (95% CI 1.3–2.5), p :	6.2), p ≤ 0.001); worsening ASA 1); ASA 4: OR 12.4 (95% CI 6.9−22.2), R; 2.5 (95% CI 1.8−3.5), p ≤ 0.001); ≤ 0.001). Complications associated with
mortality included: acute renal impairment (OR 3.3 (95% Intensive Care Unit admission (OR 3.1 (95% CI 1.9–4.9), (OR 2.5 (95% CI 1.7–3.7), $p \le 0.001$). Patient factors of	CI 2.1–5.0), $p \le 0.001$; unplanned $p \le 0.001$; and systemic inflammation ten had a stronger association with
mortality than the type of surgery. Strategies are needed to older surgical patients.	» reduce complications and mortality in
Correspondense to: Associate Professor David A. Story Final: David StoryGlaudin or	
*Presented in part, at the Australian and New Zealand College of Anaerberint" Annual Scientific Meetings, Cairus, Australia, May- 2009 and Christmeth, New Zealand, May 2010. Published in proceedings only.	
Accepted; 3 July 2010	
In a study of 1100 older surgical patients in three hospitals in one Australian city (Melbourne) we previously found the 200 (100) article but employee and 61 (60)	range of surgical specialties [2]. Our findings wern broadly consistent with the small number of published North American and European studies [3-6]. Previou
died within 30 days [1]. This was one of a few prospective	studies, including ours, have found that high rates o
that 200 (12%) patients had comparations and 61 (6%) died within 30 days [1]. This was one of a few prospective studies to examine the association of mortality with both patient factors and defined complications across a wide	studies, including ours, have found that high rates o complications were associated with peolonged hospitali- sation, increased hospital costs, and mortality [1, 7, 8]

Story D, et al. Complications and mortality in older surgical patients in Australia and New Zealand (the REASON Study): a multicentre, prospective, observational study. *Anaesthesia*, 2010; **65**: 1022-1030

Results

- Studied 4158 consecutive patients
- By day 30
 - ▶ 216 (5%) of patients had died
 - ▶ 835 (20%) suffered complications

Anaesthesia

Journal of the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland Avaestresia, 2010, 65, pages 1022–1030 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2044.2010.06478.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Complications and mortality in older surgical patients in Australia and New Zealand (the REASON study): a multicentre, prospective, observational study*

D. A. Story,¹ K. Leslie,² P. S. Myles,³ M. Fink,⁴ S. J. Poustie,⁵ A. Forbes,⁶ S. Yap,⁷ V. Beavis⁶ and R. Kerridge⁷; on behalf of the REASON Investigators, Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists Trials Group

1 Heid of Bezendo, Department of Amerikais, A Phynakhlary Singero, Ansini Heakh, Hedakheg, Anendia 2 Head of Bezendo, Department of Amerikais and Dain Mangemer, Reyd Molloume Hoipital, Mohome, Amerika 3 Datter, Department of Amerikais and Pain Mangemen, Affed Hoipital, Mehomer, Amerikai 3 Rezendi Condunier, ANZAC Yish Cony, Mohomer Antohia 6 Hadi, Iomanimu Uun, Department of Eglennikogy and Poventine Medicire, Shool of Public Health and Preventive Medicire, Mosah University, Mohomer, Annolai 7 Dattero, Prioryeastive Unit, Poine of Wildon Hyanda, Softery, Amerika 8 Dintero, Integrative Unit, Poine of Wildon Hyanda, Softery, Amerika 8 Dintero, Integrative Unit, Poine of Wildon Hyanda, Softery, Amerika 8 Dintero, Integrative Servis, John Humter Heguid, Navenez, Amerika

Summary

We conducted a propective study of non-cardiac surgical patients aged 70 years or more in 23 borptal in Auximita and New Zacland. We studied 4158 concentive patients of whom 2845 (88%) had pre-existing connerbidiries. By day 30, 216 (5%) patients had died, and 835 (20%) sufficient complexitions 300, 0.4%) patients were adminted to the Incensive Care Unit. Pre-operative factors associated with mortality included: increasing age (80–89 years: OR 2.1 (95%) Cl 1.6–5.5), p < 0.001; yoursening ASA physical status (ASA 3: OR 3.1 (95% Cl 1.8–5.5), p < 0.001; ASA 4: OR 12.4 (95% Cl 1.6–9.22), p < 0.001; yoursening abatimis < 30 gr 1.7%), p < 0.001; and yoursening abatimis (30 gr 1.1%), and a status (1.6) and a status (1.6) and (1.6) a

Conceptulator to: Associate Pofener David A. Story Enail: Doeld Stryf@amiin.org "Phennotin Ingr. et it: Astroffamilian and New Zealand Cellege of Anarchevine" Annual Scientific Meeting, Carina, Australia, Mary 2009 and Christophich, New Zealand, May 2010. Published in percentinge only. Accepted. July 2010

In a study of 1100 older surgical patients in three hospitals in one Australian city (Melbourne) we previously found that 208 (19%) patients had complications and foil (4%). North American and European studes, 3p–6l. Previous ded within 30 days [1], This was one of a few prospective studies to examine the association of mortality with both patient factors and defined complications artous a wide

1022

© 2010 The Author Anaesthesia © 2010 The Association of Anaesthesiss of Great Britain and Infand

Story D, et al. Complications and mortality in older surgical patients in Australia and New Zealand (the REASON Study): a multicentre, prospective, observational study. Anaesthesia, 2010; 65: 1022-1030

- Independent pre-operative patient factors associated with an increased 30-day mortality included
 - Increasing Age
 - ▶ 80-89 years: OR 2.1 [95% CI 1.6-2.8]
 - ▶ 90+ years: OR 4.0 [95% CI 2.6–6.2])
 - Worsening ASA Status
 - ► ASA 3: OR 3.1 [95% CI 1.8–5.5]
 - ► ASA 4: OR 12.4 [95% CI 6.9–22.2]
 - ► ASA 5: OR 40.8 [95% CI 16.5–101.2]

Table 2 Association between 30-day mortality and patient factors. Odds ratios are adjusted for age, ASA status and comorbidity.

 Comorbidities are ranked by frequency. Values are number (proportion) or number (95% CI).

Variable		Mortality	OR	p value	Adjusted OR	p value
Age						
70–79	2532 (61%)	90 (4%)	1.0 Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
80–89	1380 (33%)	96 (7%)	2.0 (1.5–2.7)	< 0.001	2.1 (1.6–2.8)	< 0.001
90+	246 (6%)	30 (12%)	3.8 (2.5–5.9)	< 0.001	4.0 (2.6-6.2)	< 0.001
Sex						
Male	2086 (50%)	117 (6%)	1.2 (0.9–1.5)	0.25	1.3 (1.0–1.8)	0.04
Comorbidity						
Diabetes	913 (22%)	47 (5%)	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	0.97	0.9 (0.6–1.2)	0.38
Ischaemic heart disease	826 (20%)	53 (6%)	1.4 (1.0–1.6)	0.06	0.8 (0.5-1.1)	0.15
Albumin <30 g.l ⁻¹	556 (17%)	82 (15%)	4.2 (3.1–5.8)	< 0.001	2.5 (1.8-3.5)	< 0.001
Renal impairment	687 (16%)	63 (9%)	2.2 (1.6-2.9)	< 0.001	1.3 (0.9–1.8)	0.15
Cerebrovascular disease	592 (14%)	43 (7%)	1.5 (1.0–2.1)	0.01	1.1 (0.8–1.6)	0.75
Cognitive impairment	551 (13%)	58 (10%)	2.5 (1.8–3.5)	< 0.001	1.4 (1.0-2.0)	0.06
Obesity	496 (12%)	15 (3%)	0.5 (0.3–0.9)	0.02	0.7 (0.4–1.2)	0.17
Cardiac failure	401 (9%)	46 (11%)	2.7 (1.9-3.8)	< 0.001	1.4 (0.9–2.0)	0.13
Respiratory insufficiency	353 (8%)	39 (11%)	2.5 (1.7–3.6)	< 0.001	1.8 (1.2–2.6)	0.006
Aortic stenosis	136 (3%)	12 (9%)	1.8 (1.0–3.3)	0.49	1.0 (0.5–2.0)	0.99
ASA physical status						
1,2	1315 (32%)	15 (1%)	1.0 Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
3	2177 (52%)	96 (4%)	4.0 (2.3-6.9)	< 0.001	3.0 (1.7–5.2)	< 0.001
4	540 (13%)	90 (17%)	17 (9.9–30.3)	< 0.001	12.4 (6.9–22.1)	< 0.001
5	32 (1%)	11 (34%)	45 (18.6–111.2)	< 0.001	40.8 (16.5–101.2)	< 0.001



Figure 1 Adjusted odds ratios (OR) for 30-day mortality (dots) with 95% CI (bars) for pre-operative and operative factors associated with increased mortality ranked by OR point estimate. The dotted line represents an OR of 1. Thoracic, thoracic surgery; non-scheduled, non-scheduled surgery.

- Applicable to our patient group
 - Local hospitals
 - Open inclusion criteria
 - Inclusive of both elective and emergency surgery
- Difficult to apply to specific patients

Population based risk assessment

Australian Bureau of Statistics

- Life expectancy tables and likelihood of dying
 - Most recent tables are from 2011-2013
 - Based on age-specific death rates

Australian Bureau of Statistics

Australian Bureau of Statistics

3302055001DO001_20112013 Life Tables, States, Territories and Australia, 2011-2013 Released at 11:30 am (Canberra time) Thurs 6 Nov 2014

Contents

- Tables

 1.1 Life Tables, New South Wales, 2011–2013

 1.2 Life Tables, Victoria, 2011–2013

 1.3 Life Tables, Queensland, 2011–2013

 1.4 Life Tables, South Australia. 2011–2013
 - 1.5 Life Tables, Western Australia, 2011-2013
 - 1.6 Life Tables, Tasmania, 2011-2013
 - 1.7 Life Tables, Northern Territory, 2011-2013
 - 1.8 Life Tables, Australian Capital Territory, 2011–2013
 - 1.9 Life Tables, Australia, 2011-2013

More information available from the ABS website

Life Tables, States, Territories and Australia, 2011–2013 Summary Explanatory Notes

Inquiries

For further information about these and related statistics, contact the National Information and Referral Service on 1300 135 070.

© Common wealth of Australia 2014

Australian Bureau of Statistics

Released	at 11:30 am (0	Canberra tin	ne) Thurs 6 I	Nov 2014				
Table 1.9	Life Tables, A	Australia, 2	011–2013					
		Male	s		Females			
	IX	qx	Lx	ex	IX	qx	Lx	ex
\ge	no.	rate	no.	years	no.	rate	no.	years
70	82,409	0.01690	81,722	15.3	89,117	0.01019	88,670	17.9
71	81,016	0.01865	80,271	14.6	88,209	0.01138	87,715	17.0
72	79,505	0.02066	78,695	13.9	87,205	0.01274	86,659	16.2
73	77,862	0.02298	76,980	13.1	86,095	0.01428	85,490	15.4
74	76,073	0.02564	75,112	12.4	84,865	0.01598	84,198	14.6
75	74,122	0.02869	73,074	11.8	83,509	0.01783	82,776	13.9
76	71,995	0.03218	70,853	11.1	82,020	0.01992	81,215	13.1
77	69,679	0.03614	68,437	10.4	80,386	0.02235	79,502	12.4
78	67,160	0.04061	65,814	9.8	78,589	0.02523	77,614	11.6
79	64,433	0.04564	62,980	9.2	76,606	0.02865	75,528	10.9
80	61,492	0.05126	59,934	8.6	74,412	0.03271	73,216	10.2
81	58,340	0.05759	56,677	8.1	71,978	0.03753	70,650	9.6
82	54,980	0.06473	53,217	7.5	69,276	0.04318	67,806	8.9
83	51,421	0.07279	49,564	7.0	66,285	0.04976	64,662	8.3
84	47,678	0.08186	45,739	6.5	62,987	0.05735	61,207	7.7
85	43,775	0.09203	41,770	6.1	59,375	0.06603	57,440	7.1
86	39,747	0.10338	37,697	5.6	55,455	0.07587	53,374	6.6
87	35,638	0.11599	33,570	5.2	51,248	0.08693	49,039	6.1
88	31,504	0.12992	29,451	4.8	46,793	0.09927	44,483	5.7
89	27,411	0.14520	25,408	4.5	42,148	0.11293	39,774	5.2
90	23,431	0.16150	21,518	4.2	37,388	0.12755	34,998	4.8
91	19,647	0.17699	17,879	3.9	32,619	0.14211	30,287	4.5
92	16,170	0.19169	14,590	3.6	27,984	0.15849	25,748	4.1
93	13,070	0.21134	11,661	3.3	23,549	0.17845	21,425	3.8
94	10,308	0.23453	9,069	3.1	19,346	0.20068	17,374	3.5
95	7,890	0.25799	6,839	2.9	15,464	0.22331	13,698	3.3
96	5,855	0.27885	5,004	2.7	12,011	0.24466	10,495	3.1
97	4,222	0.29261	3,574	2.6	9,072	0.26046	7,845	2.9
98	2,987	0.30638	2,505	2.5	6,709	0.27627	5,743	2.7
99	2,072	0.32014	1,722	2.4	4,856	0.29207	4,113	2.6
100	1,408	0.33390	3,204	2.3	3,437	0.30787	8,550	2.5

Australian Bureau of Statistics

- Gives a population based prediction of yearly mortality
 - Independent of surgical intervention
 - Not individualised to the patient
 - Can be considered baseline

Individualised risk assessment

Individualised risk assessment

Numerous risk stratification tools

- Specific for surgical procedures
 - Euroscore II for cardiac surgery
- Specific post operative complications
 - ► Lees' Revised Cardiac Risk Index
- Specific patient populations
 - ► APACHE II risk index for ICU patients
- General preoperative risk assessment
 - ► POSSUM / P-POSSUM
 - ACS NSQIP

AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS: NATIONAL SURGICAL QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

- American College of Surgeon National Surgical Quality Improvement Program
 - Collects high-quality, standardised clinical data from more than 500 hospitals in the US
 - Preoperative risk factors
 - Post operative complications
 - Originally used
 - Risk-adjusted 30 day outcome comparisons.
 - Generate procedure specific risk prediction tools for a limited number of postoperative outcomes

Universal ACS - NSQIP surgical risk calculator

- Developed using data from
 - ► 393 ACS NSQIP hospitals
 - ▶ 1,414,006 patients
 - Subspecialties included

Specialty	Cardiac surgery	11,170	0.8
	General surgery	840,071	59.4
	Gynecology	74,737	5.3
	Neurosurgery	44,603	3.2
	Orthopaedics	170,280	12
	Otolaryngology	32,489	2.3
	Vascular	137,678	9.7
	Plastics	29,284	2.1
	Thoracic	14,939	1.1
	Urology	58,749	4.2

Universal ACS - NSQIP surgical risk calculator

- Data Source
 - Collects reliable and validated data on patient demographics, laboratories, comorbidities and 30-day postoperative outcomes
 - Standardised data definitions
 - Thirty-day outcomes are assessed from the medical record, or patients are contacted after discharge

Bilimoria KY, et al. Development and evaluation of the universal ACS NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator: A decision aid and informed consent tool for patients and surgeons. Journal of American College of Surgeons 2013; **217(5)**: 833-42

Universal ACS - NSQIP surgical risk calculator

- Preoperative risk factors
 - Preoperative risk factors were selected a priori based on
 - Predictive value
 - Routine availability to the surgeon before the procedure
 - Clinical face validity

Bilimoria KY, et al. Development and evaluation of the universal ACS NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator: A decision aid and informed consent tool for patients and surgeons. Journal of American College of Surgeons 2013; **217(5)**: 833-42

Universal ACS - NSQIP surgical risk calculator

- Used individual Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes for each surgical procedure
 - CPTs with more than 25 cases were used in the calculator

Appendix 2. Distribution of Current Procedural Terminology Codes and Cases

within each CPT \geq Number 2	per of CPTs	Cases, n (%)
1	2,805	1,414,006 (100.0)
25	1,557	1,403,137 (99.2)
50	1,227	1,391,627 (98.4)
75	1,022	1,379,094 (97.5)
100	894	1,368,155 (96.8)
200	633	1,331,357 (94.2)
500	373	1,247,717 (88.2)
1,000	224	1,143,523 (80.9)

CPT, Current Procedural Terminology.

Risk Prediction Models

- Models for 8 surgical outcomes were evaluated
 - Mortality
 - Pneumonia
 - Cardiac event (cardiac arrest or myocardial infarction)
 - Surgical site infection
 - Urinary tract infection
 - Deep vein thrombosis
 - Renal failure
 - Other Morbidity

Surgeon Risk Adjustment

- Allows modification based on comorbidities not accounted for
 - Increase the risk of surgery within the confidence interval for each CPT
 - Surgeon Adjustment Score (SAS)
 - SAS 1 = default estimated risk from the model
 - SAS 2 = adjusted to +1 standard deviation of predicted risks
 - SAS 3 = adjusted to +2 standard deviations of predicted risks

Bilimoria KY, et al. Development and evaluation of the universal ACS NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator: A decision aid and informed consent tool for patients and surgeons. Journal of American College of Surgeons 2013; **217(5)**: 833-42

Performance of the risk calculator

- Three metrics
 - C-statistic
 - ▶ Is a measure of discrimination
 - Ranges from 0.5 (chance) to 1.0 (perfect)
 - Brier score
 - The average squared difference between patients' predicted probabilities and observed outcomes (1 or 0 depending on event or non-event)
 - ▶ The Brier score will approach 0.0 with perfect prediction
 - Hosmer-Lemeshow (HL) Statistic
 - Measure of calibration that reflects bias in predicting risk across the range of risk

Bilimoria KY, et al. Development and evaluation of the universal ACS NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator: A decision aid and informed consent tool for patients and surgeons. Journal of American College of Surgeons 2013; **217(5)**: 833-42

Table 2. Complication Rates and Model Statistics for the Universal Surgical Risk Calculator Model (n = 1,414,006)

Outcomes	Events, n (%)	c-statistic*	Brier score*	Brier score (null model)*
Mortality	18,909 (1.3)	0.944	0.011	0.0132
Morbidity	126,921 (9.0)	0.816	0.069	0.0817
Pneumonia	17,183 (1.2)	0.870	0.011	0.0120
Cardiac	10,676 (0.8)	0.895	0.007	0.0075
SSI	50,611 (3.6)	0.817	0.032	0.0346
UTI	20,777 (1.5)	0.806	0.014	0.0145
VTE	12,671 (0.9)	0.819	0.009	0.0089
Renal failure	8,996 (0.6)	0.903	0.006	0.0063

*The c-statistic is a measure of discrimination, that ranges from 0.5 (chance) to 1.0 (perfect), which reflects the extent to which cases are properly classified as having or not having an event. The Brier score describes the averaged squared difference between patients' predicted probability and the actual outcome (0 for a nonevent and 1 for an event). If all patients without an event are assigned a predicted probability of 0, and all patients with an event are assigned a predicted probability of 1, the Brier score will be 0, indicating perfect prediction. For the null model Brier score, the overall event rate (say, 0.05 for a 5% mortality rate) is assigned to each patient. This indexes predictive value when using this information but no patient-specific factors. SSI, surgical site infection; UTI, urinary tract infection; VTE, deep venous thrombosis.



Figure 1. Plots of mean observed rates and universal-model-predicted rates for Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes. *Only CPTs with at least 200 cases are included. The limit lines on either side of the diagonal representing perfect agreement (observed = predicted) are set at $\pm 25\%$ (from the diagonal). Scatter plots are in the left column; the right column includes the interquartile range (IQR) around the predicted values for each CPT group. The IQR spread represents differences in patient-predicted risk within each CPT code.

Benefits of universal risk calculator

Uses 21 preoperative risk factors which are usually available in most patients preoperatively

Bilimoria KY, et al. Development and evaluation of the universal ACS NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator: A decision aid and informed consent tool for patients and surgeons. Journal of American College of Surgeons 2013; **217(5)**: 833-42

www.riskcalculator.facs.org

KISK C	alculator Homepa	age About	FAQ ACS Website A	CS NSQIP Websit	e
	Enter	Patient an	d Surgical Informat	ion	
Procedur	44140 - Colec	tomy, partial; with anas	tomosis		Clear
Begin by e will need	ntering the procedure not to click on the desired p words) by placing	ame or CPT code. One procedure to properly s a '+' in between, for e Reset Al	or more procedures will appear below the select it. You may also search using two wo xample: "cholecystectomy+cholangiograp Selections	procedure box. You rds (or two partial hy"	
Are there other	potential appropriate tr	eatment options?	Other Surgical Options Other No	n-operative options	None None
	Please enter as n A rough estim	nuch of the following in ate will still be generate	formation as you can to receive the best risk d if you cannot provide all of the information	estimates. 1 below.	
	Age Group	65-74 years •	Dia	betes 🕐 🛛 Insulin 🔻	
	Sex	Male •	Hypertension requiring medic	ation 🕐 Yes 🔻	
	Functional status 🛞	Independent	Previous cardiac	event 🕐 Yes 🔹	
	Emergency case 📀	No 🔻	Congestive heart failure in 30 days pr su	ior to 🕐 No 🔻	
	ASA class 🔋	III - Severe systemic	disease •		
	Wound class 📀	Clean	▼ Dys	pnea 😢 None	•
Steroid use fo	r chronic condition 😰	No 🔻	Current smoker within 1	year 😢 No 🔻	
Ascites within 30 da	ays prior to surgery 🛞	No 🔻	History of severe (COPD 😢 No 🔻	
ystemic sepsis withi	n 48 hours prior to 📀 surgery	None •	Di	alysis 🕐 🛛 🔻	
			Acute Renal F	ailure 🛞 🛛 🔻	
Ve	ntilator dependent 🛞	No 🔻	BMI Calculation: 휭 Heigh	nt (in) 69	
Di	isseminated cancer 📀	No 🔻	Weight	t (lbs) 250	



Limitations

- Questionable applicability of a US based risk prediction model
- Preoperative variables were selected based on what was available in the NSQIP data base
 - Other preoperative factors such as albumin is not included despite high association with post operative morbidity

Bilimoria KY, et al. Development and evaluation of the universal ACS NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator: A decision aid and informed consent tool for patients and surgeons. Journal of American College of Surgeons 2013; **217(5)**: 833-42

Applying this knowledge in practice

Practical use of tools

Use of peri-operative risk tools

- High risk patients having procedures which are not necessary
 - Stoma reversals
- Exceptionally high risk patients
 - Potentially pre-terminal

Case

Mid 70's renal patient with a potential ischaemic gut

- Usual renal co-morbidities
- Critical aortic stenosis
- Severe pulmonary hypertension
- Actively septic
- Significant abdominal pain





<u>)</u><u>IP</u>[°] Ris

	Procedure	procedure)	sopoy(o) (separate	
	Risk Factors	Age: 75:34, Male, Partially dependent functional status, Emergent, ASA I s wound, Chronic steroids, Sepsis, Diabetes (insulin), HTN, Previous cardia rest, COPD, Dialysis, Acute renal failure, Overweight	V/V, Contaminated c, CHF, Dyspnea at	
OL	itcomes	Surgeon estimates considerably higher risk	Estimated Risk	Chance of Outcome
Com	Serious blication		78%	Above Average
Any Comp	olication		82%	Above Average
Pne	eumonia		26%	Above Average
Heart Comp	lication		42%	Above Average
Wound I	nfection		12%	Above Average
Urina I	ry Tract nfection		8%	Above Average
Blo	ood Clot		8%	Above Average
Kidney	/ Failure	This outcome is inapplicable to patients with pre-op renal failure or dialysis.		
Retu	rn to OR		30%	Above Average
	Death		85%	Above Average
Discharge to or Rehab	Nursing Facility		96%	Above Average
	(0% (Better) 100% (Worse	a)	
		Predicted Length of Hospital Stay: 30.0 days		



Disclamer. The ACS Surgical Risk Calculator estimates the chance of an unfavorable outcome (such as a complication or death) off er surgery. The risk is estimated based upon information the patient gives to the healthcare provider about prior health hisfory. The estimates are calculated using data from a large number of patients who had a surgical procedure similar to the one the patient may have. Please note the risk percentages provided to you by the Surgical Risk Calculator are only estimates. The risk estimate only lakes certain information into account. There may be only factores that are not included in the estimate which may inforease or decrease the risk of a complication or death. These estimates are provider about the diagnosis that after surgery may happen even if the risk islow. This information is not intended to replace the advice of a doctor or heathcare provider about the diagnosis threat may based on the risk calculator or estimates are provider doctor the diagnosis threat met informational purposes. Patients should always consult their doctor or other health care provider before deciding on a treatment plan.

Definitions

- · Complications: Includes any of the problems after surgery listed below
- Pneumonia: Infection in the lungs
- · Heart Complication: Includes heart attack or sudden stopping of the heart
- . Wound Infection: Infection at the area of the incision or near the organ where surgery was performed
- Urinary Tract Infection: Infection of the bladder or kidneys
- Blood Oot: Oot in the leg veins that can travel to the lung
- Kidney Failure: Kidneys no longer function in making urine and/or clearing the blood of toxins
- . Return to OR The need to go back to the operating room due to a problem after the prior surgery
- . Discharge to Nursing or Rehab facility: Discharge to a facility other than home

The information contained in this report is privileged patient health information, and may be subject to protection under the law, including the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA). The ACS is not responsible for ensuring that this information is transmitted or stored in a secure environment.

© 2007

2015, American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. All Rights Reservered.

"What is your understanding of the current situation, and what is likely to happen?"

- "What is your understanding of the current situation, and what is likely to happen?"
- What have the other doctors explained so far?"

- "What is your understanding of the current situation, and what is likely to happen?"
- What have the other doctors explained so far?"
- "What is your biggest concern at the moment?"

- "What is your understanding of the current situation, and what is likely to happen?"
- What have the other doctors explained so far?"
- "What is your biggest concern at the moment?"
- "What do you hope we could do?"
- "What do you hope to gain from having the procedure?"

- "What is your understanding of the current situation, and what is likely to happen?"
- What have the other doctors explained so far?"
- "What is your biggest concern at the moment?"
- "What do you hope we could do?"
- "What do you hope to gain from having the procedure?"



- Stress that they will continue to be looked after even if they don't proceed with surgery
 - Discuss which teams will be responsible for their ongoing care

Importance of communication

- The anaesthetist is the last hurdle to overcome prior to surgery
 - Communication is vital between surgeons and anaesthetist
 - Also vital between anaesthetist and patients

Perioperative mortality is higher than people realise

- Perioperative mortality is higher than people realise
- There are tools which are available to help quantify risks

- Perioperative mortality is higher than people realise
- There are tools which are available to help quantify risks
- There are alternatives to surgery

- Perioperative mortality is higher than people realise
- There are tools which are available to help quantify risks
- There are alternatives to surgery
- Need to ask important and difficult questions