END OF LIFE MATTERS – GENERAL SURGERY

A/Prof Sarah K Thompson Upper GI Surgeon Royal Adelaide Hospital & Private

3 Key 'Matters' for the General Surgeon

- 1. The frail patient with an acute surgical problem operate or print 2
- 2. Dead gut resect or close?

 Artificial nutrition in the palliative patient – yes or no?

I. DEAD GUT

Patient X

44 y.o man taken to theatre for an acute abdomen. Dead gut resected. He is left with 40cm jejunum, and an end jejunostomy.







Normal = 3-6 metres

○ Short bowel < 150-200 cm

 How much is needed to avoid TPN dependence?

Short bowel syndrome

Table 2. Digestive Characteristics of 124 Adult Patients With
Nonmalignant Short Bowel Syndrome

Characteristics	No. of patients (%)
Remnant small bowel length (<i>cm</i>)	
<50	43 (35)
50–99	39 (31)
100–150	42 (34)
Digestice circuit type of anastomeets	
End-enterostomy (type 1)	18 (14)
Jejunocolic anastomosis (type 2)	78 (63)
Jejunoileocolic anastomosis (type 3)	28 (23)
Radiographic abnormal pattern of remnant small bowel	
Present ^a	24 (19)
Absent	100 (81)
Other digestive features	
Left colostomy	12 (10)
Duodenopancreatectomy	3 (2)





Acute mesenteric ischaemia



$\circ\,$ To avoid TPN dependence:

- o Need 100 cm jejunum
- Or... 65 cm jejunum + jejunocolic anastomosis
- Or... 30 cm jejunum + jejunoileocolic anastomosis



Intestinal transplant



Intestinal transplant in Australia

- Developed in 2009
- Austin Health & Royal Children's Hospital, Victoria
- Over 5 years, 3 transplants have been performed (2 in pediatric patients)
- 4 patients are wait-listed with wait-list times ranging from 385-1825 days

Patient X

44 y.o man taken to theatre for an acute abdomen. Dead gut resected. He is left with 40cm jejunum, and an end jejunostomy.



II. ARTIFICIAL NUTRITION IN THE PALLIATIVE PATIENT

Patient Y

- 68 y.o. man with gastric cancer and a <u>leaking</u> jejunostomy
- ✤ 3 cycles chemotherapy
- Tumour un-resectable at laparotomy
- Feeding jejunostomy inserted
- "start of trauma" for patient
- Due to start 4th cycle chemotherapy in 3 days





2 major drivers of weight loss

- 1. Starvation
- 2. Refractory cachexia



Worsening symptom burden at the "end of life"



What is "end of life"?

- Ambiguous
- Range from few days to a few months
- Pragmatic cut-off = <u>3 months</u>

How do we predict "end of life"?

 343 physicians asked to estimate survival in 468 patients at time of hospice referral

• A total of 20% of predictions were accurate!

Models	Variables	Scoring	Survival Interpretation	
Palliative Prognostic Score ⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰	Clinician prediction of survival $(0-8.5)$ Karnofsky performance scale $\geq 50\%$ (2.5) Anorexia (1.5) Dyspnea (1) Leukocytosis (0– 1.5) Lymphopenia (0– 2.5)	Total score 0– 17.5 points Higher score = worse survival	Risk group A (0–5.5 points): months of survival Risk group B (5.6– 11 points): weeks of survival Risk group C (11.1– 17.5 points): days of survival	
Palliative Prognostic Index ⁸⁰⁻⁸⁶	Palliative performance scale (0–4) Delirium (considered absent if caused by a single medication and potentially reversible) (4) Dyspnea at rest (3.5) Oral intake (0–2.5) Edema (1)	Total score 0– 15 points Higher score = worse survival	Risk group A (0–4 points): months of survival Risk group B (4.1–6 points): weeks of survival Risk group C (6.1– 15 points): days of survival	
Glasgow Prognostic Score ^{52,61-} 65	Albumin < 35 g/L (1) C-reactive protein > 10 mg/L (1)	Total score 0- 2 Higher score = worse survival	Score = 0: months to years of survival Score = 1: months of survival Score = 2: weeks to months of survival	



"The stage of cancer at the time of diagnosis is a key factor that defines prognosis and is a <u>critical element</u> in determining appropriate treatment..."

Why is it important to recognize "end of life"?

- Many symptoms/complications are irreversible
- Often takes weeks for weight to improve
- Anorexia-cachexia = shorter life expectancy
- BUT... intervention unlikely to change outcome due to the progressive cancer

What is the evidence?

Medically assisted nutrition for palliative care in adult patients (Review) Good P, Cavenagh J, Mather M, Ravenscroft P THE COCHRANE **COLLABORATION®**

5 Prospective Studies

- Insufficient evidence to support artificial nutrition
- Invasive medical intervention
- 2006 European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) = No

Table 4

Prognosis-Based Decision Making Regarding Artificial Nutrition

Nutritional State

Reduced oral intake and normal absorption

Significantly compromised oral intake (e.g. dysphagia, severe mucositis) and normal absorption

Significantly compromised absorption (e.g. bowel obstruction) or failure of enteral nutrition

Life expectancy: months or longer (active cancer treatments considered; pre-cachexia/ cachexia state)

Continue with oral intake, consider nutritional supplements

Consider enteral nutrition

Consider parenteral nutrition

Life expectancy: days to weeks (progressive cancer with no standard treatment options; refractory cachexia)

Continue with oral intake, consider nutritional supplements

Conservative measures Consider parenteral hydration Artificial nutrition not recommended

Conservative measures Consider parenteral hydration Artificial nutrition not recommended

What is the goal of nutritional care at the "end of life"?

Summary

- Goal in most patients = maintenance of nutritional status/function
- Goal in the "end of life" patient = well-being and comfort
 - Stop weighing the patient
 - Stop measuring food intake
 - Stop restrictions around other medical conditions,
 i.e. diabetes

JAMA 2016

- Most studies use mortality as 1° or 2° outcome measure
- But do patients with acute illnesses requiring hospitalization view other conditions as "worse than death"?
- N = 180 patients
- All > 60 y.o. with advanced cancer, severe lung disease, or severe congestive heart failure



Distribution of patient ratings of each queried health state on a 5-point Likert scale.

The Results

Bowel/bladder incontinence 69%
Breathing tube 67%
Feeding tube 56%

Conditions the same or worse than death

Patient Y





	Survival ate					
1	00					
	80					_
	60	16				
	40					
	20					
_	1		1			
	At Dx	1	2	3	4	5
— IA	100.0	90.2	84.8	79.8	74.8	70.8
— IB	100.0	87.4	77.9	69.9	62.7	57.4
— IIA	100.0	82.1	67.4	57.2	50.2	45.5
— IIB	100.0	76.8	58.3	46.0	38.4	32.8
— IIIA	100.0	66.5	42.4	29.9	23.5	19.8
— IIIB		61.6	35.4	22.9	17.8	14.0
IIIC		47.4	21.8	14.2	11.0	9.2
— IV	100.0	27.0	10.0	5.6	4.5	4.0

Patient Y



III. CLOSING THOUGHTS

Dead Gut

- 1. Take careful measurements how much bowel is left?
- 2. Is it possible to avoid TPN dependence?

3. If not, it may be more humane to refrain from resection.

Artificial Nutrition in the Palliative Patient

 No evidence to support artificial nutrition in the "end of life" patient

2. A pragmatic cut-off is **3** months

3. 56% of seriously ill patients view reliance on a feeding tube to live as a condition the same or worse than death

Thank you

